

# **Monitoring, preventing and controlling rock burst in deep coal mines**

**Prof. Yun-liang Tan**

**Dr. Shan-chao Hu**

Shandong University of Science and Technology

Email:[yunliangtan@163.com](mailto:yunliangtan@163.com)


# Content

---

- **Introduction**
- **Main investigations**
  - ✓ Risk estimation
  - ✓ Monitoring and early warning
  - ✓ Risk relief
- **Conclusions**

# Introduction

---




- As mining immigrates to depth, rock burst or coal bump risk gets higher and higher.
- The buried depth of **177** coalmines reaches more than **800 m** in China, and rock burst is one of the worst disasters in deep coalmines.

# Introduction

---


- **Rock burst disaster is strongly disruptive, and it may bring out roadway destroyed.**



Risk evaluating, monitoring and controlling of deep rock burst is a long term task in coal mining engineering.

# Introduction

## Ideas




# Content

---


- Introduction
- **Main investigations**
  - ✓ Risk evaluation
  - ✓ Monitoring and early warning
  - ✓ Risk elimination
- **Conclusions**

# Risk evaluation

## Viscoelastic nonlinear mechanism of energy accumulation and release of deep surrounding rock



**Mining disturbance**




**Time effect of energy accumulation and release**

# Risk evaluation

## Unloading impact energy rate index


Step 1



□ Getting the triaxial compressive strength,  $\sigma_c$ , at the confining pressure of  $\sigma_h$ .

□ Unloading confining pressure when the axial pressure is about 85%  $\sigma_c$ .

Step 2



Step 3

$$W_{ST} = \frac{U_{e1} + 2U_{e3}}{(U_{d1} + 2U_{d3}) \cdot D_T}$$

Strain energy accumulated before unloading

Energy consumed after peak stress

Duration of dynamic fracture

When  $W_{ST} < 3$ , no rockburst risk;

When  $3 \leq W_{ST} < 100$ , weak rockburst risk;


When  $W_{ST} \geq 100$ , strong rockburst risk.



# Risk evaluation

## Impact energy rate index of combined coal-rock

Step 1




$$h_1 = \frac{0.1H_1}{H_1 + H_2 + H_3}$$

$$h_3 = \frac{0.1H_3}{H_1 + H_2 + H_3}$$

$$h_2 = \frac{0.1H_2}{H_1 + H_2 + H_3}$$

$H_1$ —the height of coal seam;  
 $H_2$ —the hard roof height;  
 $H_3$ —the hard floor height.

Step 2



Loading results of the coal-rock combined sample

Step 3

Strain energy accumulated before peak-stress

$$W_{ZT} = \frac{h_2 Q_{SER1} + h_3 Q_{SER2} + h_1 Q_{SEC}}{h_1 F_X \cdot D_T}$$

Duration of dynamic fracture

Energy consumed after peak stress

# Risk evaluation

## The new evaluation index system of rock burst risk of deep coal seam


Indices	No rock burst risk	Low rock burst risk	High rock burst risk	Note
Duration of dynamic fracture	$D_T > 500$	$50 < D_T \leq 500$	$D_T \leq 50$	China standard
Elastic strain energy index	$W_{ET} < 2$	$2 \leq W_{ET} < 5$	$W_{ET} \geq 5$	
Bursting energy index	$K_E < 1.5$	$1.5 \leq K_E < 5$	$K_E \geq 5$	
Uniaxial compressive strength	$R_C < 7$	$7 \leq R_C < 14$	$R_C \geq 14$	
Unloading impact energy rate index	$W_{ZT} < 6$	$6 \leq W_{ZT} < 180$	$W_{ZT} \geq 180$	New
Combined coal-rock impact energy rate index	$W_{ZT} < 3$	$3 \leq W_{ZT} < 100$	$W_{ZT} \geq 100$	New

# Risk evaluation


## ■ Evaluation approach

### Strain-mode rock burst

#### Rationale



Abutment pressure distribution




Judgement flow chart

#### Index choice

	China standard			Added index
Duration of dynamic fracture	Elastic strain energy index	Bursting energy index	Uniaxial compressive strength	<b>Unloading impact energy rate index</b>

# Risk evaluation

## ➤ Hard roof weighting aroused rock burst



### Rationale

Strength criterion:

$$\sigma / [R_t] > 1$$

Energy criterion of system instability:

$$-\Delta E - \Delta G > 0 ;$$

$$\delta^2 U \leq 0$$

Coal thrown criterion:

$$F_{xt} - F_{zt} > 0$$

**Necessary condition**

**Sufficient condition**


**Index choice**

China standard				Added index
Duration of dynamic fracture	Elastic strain energy index	Bursting energy index	Uniaxial compressive strength	Combined coal-rock impact energy rate index 11


# Risk evaluation

## ➤ Fault aroused rock burst

### Rationale



Stress distribution around the fault



Mechanical model

	China standard				Added index	
<b>Index choice</b>	<b>Fault slip</b>	<b>Duration of dynamic fracture</b>	<b>Elastic strain energy index</b>	<b>Bursting energy index</b>	<b>Uniaxial compressive strength</b>	<b>Unloading impact energy rate index</b>
	<b>No fault slip</b>	<b>Duration of dynamic fracture</b>	<b>Elastic strain energy index</b>	<b>Bursting energy index</b>	<b>Uniaxial compressive strength</b>	<b>Combined coal-rock impact energy rate index</b>

# Risk evaluation

## The new evaluation index system of rock burst risk of deep coal seam

Indices	No rock burst risk	Low rock burst risk	High rock burst risk	Note
Duration of dynamic fracture	$D_T > 500$	$50 < D_T \leq 500$	$D_T \leq 50$	China standard
Elastic strain energy index	$W_{ET} < 2$	$2 \leq W_{ET} < 5$	$W_{ET} \geq 5$	
Bursting energy index	$K_E < 1.5$	$1.5 \leq K_E < 5$	$K_E \geq 5$	
Uniaxial compressive strength	$R_C < 7$	$7 \leq R_C < 14$	$R_C \geq 14$	
Unloading impact energy rate index	$W_{ZT} < 6$	$6 \leq W_{ZT} < 180$	$W_{ZT} \geq 180$	New
Combined coal-rock impact energy rate index	$W_{ZT} < 3$	$3 \leq W_{ZT} < 100$	$W_{ZT} \geq 100$	New

# Risk evaluation

## ➤ Optimal fuzzy assessment model

**Membership matrix of the indices:**

$$S_{5 \times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} \\ S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} \\ S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} \\ S_{51} & S_{52} & S_{53} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Generalized Euclidean distance weighted by  $u_j$ :**


$$\bar{D}_j = u_j \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^5 [W_i (r_i - S_{ij})]^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$\vec{r}$  is membership matrix after normalization;

$\vec{u}$  is membership matrix to each type;

$\vec{W}$  is weight vector of the indices.

**Objective function:**  $\min \{ F(u_j) \} = \min \sum_{j=a_1}^{a_2} \left\{ u_j^2 \sum_{i=1}^n [W_i (r_i - S_{ij})]^2 \right\}$  **Constraint condition:**  $\sum_{j=a_1}^{a_2} u_j = 1$



**Optimal fuzzy assesment is by**

$$u_j = \left[ D_j^2 \sum_{j=a_1}^{a_2} D_j^{-2} \right]^{-1}$$

# Risk evaluation

---

**Example:** No. 10 coal seam of Da'anshan Coal Mine is located in the axial of syncline, and strain rock burst occurs easily. Its related burst indexes are as follows:

$D_T=432\text{ms}$  (Weak) ,  $W_{ET}=5.332$  (Strong) ,  $K_E=2.632$  (Weak) ,  $R_C=27.28\text{MPa}$  (Strong) ,  $W_{ST}=132\text{ms}^{-1}$  (Strong) .

According to optimal fuzzy identification model, their membership degrees are as follows:  $u_1=0.01$ ,  $u_2=0.37$ ,  $u_3=0.61$ , No. 10 coal seam had ***strong burst proneness***.



# Monitoring and early warning


## ➤ Precursory features and monitoring approaches

Types	Precursory features	Monitoring methods
Strain-mode rock burst	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A <b>long stress rising period</b>, the intensity and pulse number of electromagnetic radiation increase continuously.</li> <li>2. A <b>energy accumulation period</b> exists, where both the frequency and energy of microseismic event are small.</li> </ol>	Microseismic, electromagnetic radiation, online stress and drilling.
Hard roof weighting aroused rock burst	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The <b>static stress changes sharply</b>.</li> <li>2. Micro cracks induced by roof sinking increase. Both <b>the energy and frequency of AE event increase</b>.</li> </ol>	Online stress, AE, microseismic and drilling.
Fault-slip type rock burst	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Continuous sliding-mutation: <b>the energy grows exponentially</b>.</li> <li>2. Sliding-stable-mutation: <b>the energy experiences multiple peaks</b>.</li> </ol>	Microseismic, online stress and drilling.

# Monitoring and early warning

## ➤ Development of monitoring equipment


### Microseismic system




Hardware construction

# Monitoring and early warning

Software construction



Monitor data collecting software




Locator data collecting software

## Advantages:

- ✓ **Remote Intelligent Control** of microseismic monitoring is achieved, by building the remote network monitoring stations.
- ✓ **'Relay' connection mode** and **'Parallel' communication mode** are applied to expand the monitoring scope, and the cost is saved.


# Monitoring and early warning

## KJ623 AE system




**RS458 bus and Ethernet ring structure, are composed by switch board, access gateway, monitoring substations, AE sensors, etc.**



# Monitoring and early warning



Layout of AE sensors




Installation of AE sensor



# Monitoring and early warning

## KJ743 online stress monitoring system



Stress sensor




Drilling dynamometer




Wireless monitoring station

# Monitoring and early warning



Mining pressure




Increase of mining pressure

- ✓ **Real-time wireless monitoring** of mining pressure variation was achieved.
- ✓ The early warning of rock burst can be done by analyzing the **variation of mining pressure**.

# Monitoring and early warning

## YHC7.2-Z electromagnetic radiation system

Hardware construction



Monitoring results




# Monitoring and early warning


## ➤ Case studies

### Strain-mode rock burst—No. 1304 face in Yangcheng Mine

Station layout



Monitoring results







# Monitoring and early warning


## Fault-slip aroused rock burst—No. 1411 face in Suncun Mine

Location of fault and hypocentral




Monitoring results

# General destress flowchart




# Ascending mining



**Fig. 3 Sketch of strata fracture for ascending mining**

# Ascending mining


**Case study:** No. 2 coal seam (2-6 m thickness) below No.3 coal seam was first exploited in the +570 Level of Muchengjian Coal Mine. Distance between two coal seams varied from 30.3 to 37.5 m. **Rock bursts occurred in No. 3 coal seam, which has strong burst proneness.**



**Cross-section of No. 2 and No. 3 coal seams in Muchengjian Coal Mine**

# Ascending mining

## Exploration results



Roof fracture distribution of No.3 coal seam

# Ascending mining

Ascending mining can effectively reduce the risk of rock burst



**Before ascending mining approach was adopted**




**After ascending mining approach was adopted**



# Risk relief in mining

## ➤ Risk relief approaches



- ✓ **Strain-mode rock burst:** protective seam mining, pressure relief with large diameter drill, floor cutting and coal seam infusion.
- ✓ **Hard roof weighting aroused rock burst:** protective seam mining, deep hole blasting, pressure relief with large diameter drill, floor cutting and coal seam infusion.
- ✓ **Fault-slip aroused rock burst:** protective seam mining, pressure relief with large diameter drill and coal seam infusion.

# Risk relief in mining

## ➤ Development of risk relieving equipment


### Drilling rigs



ZDY4200L



CMQS1-400/5.2S



ZLJ1100



ZDY4000S

# Risk relief in mining

---

## Drilling bits



## Drilling pipes




- ✓ The diameters of drilling bits range from **25 to 153 mm**, with the torque of 150~250 N.m, the weld shear strength larger than 160 MPa and the **yield strength larger than 392 MPa**.
- ✓ The diameters of drilling pipes are **20~110 mm**, with the **bending strength larger than 500 MPa** and the **tension strength larger than 630 MPa**.


# Risk relief in mining

## ➤ *Combined relief technologies*

Using large diameter drilling as a main measure, water injecting, floor cutting and borehole blasting as supplementary measures.



# Risk relief in mining



- ✓ **The real-time monitoring of coal seam stress and early warning during the pressure relief can be achieved.**

# Risk relief in mining


## ➤ Case studies

### Strain-mode rock burst—No. 1304 face in Yangcheng Mine



Large diameter drilling

- ✓ Diameter: 113 mm
- ✓ Spacing: 2 m
- ✓ Depth: 25 m




#### Variation of front abutment pressure:


- ✓ The peak position is 16 m far away
- ✓ The peak stress is 2 MPa less than origin

# Risk relief in mining


## Hard roof weighting aroused rock burst-- No. 1411 face in Huafeng Mine



Layout



Layout





✓ Before stress relief, the maximum drilling weight is 4.1 kg/m.

✓ After stress relief, the maximum drilling weight is 3.1 kg/m.

# Risk relief in mining

## Fault-slip aroused rock burst—No. 1411 face in Suncun Mine



- ✓ Before stress relief, the largest microseismic energy is 65 kJ.
- ✓ After stress relief, the largest energy reduced to 41 kJ.



# Content

---

- Introduction
- Main investigations
  - ✓ Risk estimation
  - ✓ Monitoring and early warning
  - ✓ Risk relief
- **Conclusions**

# Conclusions

---

- ✓ Unloading impact energy rate index and combined coal-rock impact energy rate index, are proposed to perfect the risk evaluation index-system of deep rock burst, which enhances the risk evaluating reliability.
- ✓ Each type rock burst has some specific precursory features, so some suitable monitoring approaches should be utilized for different rock burst.
- ✓ The pressure relieving and deep rock burst, should be combined both by ascending mining in large region, and by different relief approaches locally.

---

# Thank you for your attentions

